IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

	X	
	:	Chapter 9
In re	:	
	:	Case No. 13-53846
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,	:	
	:	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
Debtor	:	
	X	

DEBTOR'S REPLY TO RESPONSE TO OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER 475 FILED BY TOMMY BANKSTON

The Debtor, the City of Detroit (the "<u>City</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its reply (the "<u>Reply</u>") to Tommy Bankston's response (the "<u>Response</u>") [Dkt. No. 7442] to the City's Seventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Wrongly Classified Claims (the "<u>Objection</u>") [Dkt. No. 7200] to claim number 475 (the "<u>Claim</u>"), respectfully states as follows:

BACKGROUND

- 1. On July 18, 2013 (the "Petition Date"), the City filed this case.
- 2. On November 21, 2013, this Court entered its *Order, Pursuant to Sections 105,* 501, and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof (the "Bar Date Order") [Dkt. No. 1782].
- 3. The Bar Date Order established February 21, 2014 as the deadline to file proofs of claim in this case (the "Bar Date").
- 4. Pursuant to the Bar Date Order, creditors holding pension claims against the City were allowed, but not required, to file a proof of claim.

- 5. The Bar Date Order also provided that claims entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code Section 503(b)(9) be filed by the Bar Date.
- 6. On or about November 29, 2013, Mr. Bankston was served notice of the Bar Date Order. *See* Page 81, Certificate of Service filed by the City's Noticing Agent Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC ("KCC") on December 27, 2013, [Dkt. No. 2337].
- 7. On January 3, 2014, Mr. Bankston filed the Claim with the Court and sent a copy to KCC. See Exhibit 1, Claim No. 475.
 - 8. The stated basis of the Claim is "Pension 100% No Cut."
- 9. Section 5 of the proof of claim form, where a creditor is to designate which part of the claim is entitled to priority under Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code also states "Pension 100% No Cut."
- 10. On August 29, 2014, the City filed the Objection, including an objection to the Claim. The Objection sought to reclassify the claims in the objection as unsecured claims rather than Section 503(b)(9) claims, pursuant to the City's Plan of Adjustment. The Objection did not seek to disallow the Claim, or any of the others included in the Objection.
- 11. On September 12, 2014, Mr. Bankston filed the Response, requesting that this Court not change the Claim. The Response does not provide any information to establish that the Claim is entitled to priority under Section 503(b)(9).

ARGUMENT

12. Section 503(b)(9) allows creditors to file claims for goods which were (a) received by the debtor in the 20 days prior to the bankruptcy filing, and (b) sold to the debtor in the ordinary course of the debtor's business. 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9). Claims which meet the

requirements of § 503(b)(9) are entitled to the same priority as administrative expenses, rather

than that of general unsecured claims.

13 Mr. Bankston stated that the basis for the Claim was related to his pension, but

also asserted that the Claim was entitled to priority as a Section 503(b)(9) claim. Neither the

proof of claim form nor the Response provide any support for the argument that the Claim is

entitled to administrative priority under Section 503(b)(9). Mr. Bankston does not indicate what

goods, if any, he sold the City in the 20 days prior to the bankruptcy filing, or that such goods

were sold to the City in the ordinary course of its business.

14. The City does not seek to disallow the Claim at this time, though the City reserves

its rights to object to the Claim on other grounds in the future, if appropriate. Rather it seeks

only to reclassify the Claim as a pension claim pursuant to the City's Plan of Adjustment.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that this Court enter an order reclassifying

the Claim as a pension claim, and granting the City such other and further relief as this Court may

deem just and proper.

Dated: September 26, 2014

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

By: /s/ Tamar N. Dolcourt

John A. Simon (P61866)

Tamar N. Dolcourt (P73425)

500 Woodward Ave., Ste. 2700

Detroit, MI 48226

313.234.7100

jsimon@foley.com

tdolcourt@foley.com

Counsel for the Debtor, City of Detroit,

Michigan

3